PE 23 2004 P

HANS H. HARALDSTED

Ellegaardspark 19, Postbox 107, DK 3520 Farum Tlf./fax +0045 44952267 e-mail: haraldsted@adr.dk

FEB 27 2004

10/15/84 10/15/84

US Patent Office

P.O.Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 USA

Farum, 16 February, 2004

Ref. to. :

US patent appl. No. 09/889,724

Your last letter to me with an abandonment

Dear Sir

I have this day got your letter of 11 February 2004, and you have with this last letter abandonment my application, where you refer to that I have not answer your letter mailed July 28,2003.

The only letter I have got from US Patent Office is the first one, with mailing date 12/12/2001. The second letter I have got from The US Patent Office is dated 02/11/2004, so please give me a change to answer your mail of July 28, 2003, which I have not received.

I look forward to receive the letter of July 28, 2003, from you and in the same time I look also forward to receive a fair time for answering.

So please!

Hans H. Haraldsted

Enclosure .:

Copy of your last letter to me



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,724	10/26/2001	Hans H. Haraldsted		8806
75°	90 02/11/2004		EXAM	INER
Hans H Haraldsted			CONLEY	, SEAN E
Ellegaardspark Postbox 107	19	(8.5)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Farum, DK 3520		TPE VO	1744	
DENMARK		and the	DATE MAILED: 02/11/200	4
	REAL PROPERTY.	EB 2 3 7004 FEB 2	7 2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

COPY

OIPE	₩,		A)1
FEB 2 3 2004	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	09/889,724	HARALDSTED, HANS H.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
- Vapr -	Sean E Conley	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat		ith the correspondence ac	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:		FEB 27 2004	
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the (a) A reply was received on (with a Certific period for reply (including a total extension of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final application in condition for allowance; (2) a time Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance of the co	cate of Mailing or Transmission date time of month(s)) which exp it does not constitute a proper reply rejection consists only of: (1) a time nely filed Notice of Appeal (with app	ed), which is after the ired on y under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to all filed amendment which pl	the final rejection.
(c) A reply was received on but it does not final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111	t constitute a proper reply, or a bona	a fide attempt at a proper rep	oly, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applica	(PTOL-85). ble, was received on (with a	a Certificate of Mailing or T	ransmission dated
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A	balance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if requir	red by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	·
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable	e, has not been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received of the corrected drawings. 		•	
after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) \sum No corrected drawings have been received.			
The letter of express abandonment which is significants.	ed by the attorney or agent of recor	d, the assignee of the entire	interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is sign 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application		n a representative capacity ι	under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and of the decision has expired and there are no allow	d Interference rendered on are wed claims.	nd because the period for se	eking court review

Robert 7. Warden In. ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Part of Paper No. 7

7. The reason(s) below:

Part of Paper No. 7

